

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,818	01/27/2006	Miki Nishida	205700203927US0	9018
7278 7590 12/23/2008 DARBY & DARBY P.C.		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770 Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			HUSON, MONICA ANNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11011 10111,111	10000 0770		1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	MONICA A. HUSON	1791	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appr Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13 and 5 KFR (1.3 MCNIF1) is from the maining date of this communication. 14 Failur to reply within the art or standed period for reply with 9 statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing carend patter term adjustment. See 3 T CFR 1.74(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,
Status			
N Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	pted or b) □ objected to by the l rawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 Cl	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 🖾 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) 🖾 All b) 🗀 Some * c) 🗀 None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priori	have been received. have been received in Applicati ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Driftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Advantation Diselosure Statement(e) (PTO-8262) Paper Nots/Mail Date (39/106.072106.040108.120908.	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notine of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/566,818

Art Unit: 1791

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (U.S. Patent 7,108,820). Suzuki et al., hereafter "Suzuki," show that it is known to carry out a method for producing an injection molded article (Column 2, line 48), comprising the steps of forming pellets of a coated substance after impregnating a natural fiber in a lactic acid based resin by drawing (Column 2, lines 60-67; Column 3, lines 1-2; Column 9, lines 56-66; note that drawing takes place during the kneading and extruding steps), and forming an injection molded article from the pellets obtained after the kneading (Column 9, lines 66-67; Column 10, lines 1-2). Suzuki does not show an step of adding an additional portion of lactic acid. However, mere duplication has no patentable significance unless a new or unexpected result is produced (MPEP 2144.04(VI)(B)). Therefore, It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an additional portion of lactic acid in the kneading process of Suzuki in order to increase the concentration of lactic acid in the final composition of the pellet to satisfy end-use consumer specifications.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki, in view of Shiraishi et al. (U.S. Patent 6,124,384).

Regarding Claim 1, Suzuki shows that it is known to have an injection molded article (Abstract), comprising a resin composition comprising a lactic acid based resin containing an L-lactic acid:D-lactic acid ratio of 100:0 to 97:3 (Column 4, lines 10-14), and a natural fiber (Column 4, lines 34-40), wherein the resin composition contains the

Application/Control Number: 10/566,818

Art Unit: 1791

lactic acid based resin and the natural fiber in a mass ratio of 99:1 to 70:30 (Column 4, lines 53-57). Suzuki does not show the contents of the natural fiber. Shiraishi shows that it is known to create an injection molding composition that contains lactic acid and a natural fiber that contains 40 mass% to 60 mass% of cellulose and 10 mass% to 30 mass% of lignin (Column 5, lines 58-61; Column 6, lines 40-55; Column 9, lines 22-25; note that bamboo and bagasse are known natural fibers that meet the claimed fiber composition). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Shiraishi's natural fiber as the fiber in Suzuki's molding process in order to make the article biodegradable (See Shiraishi, Column 2, lines 44-53).

Regarding Claims 2-3, Suzuki shows the article as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 1 above, but he does not show the particular physical properties that are claimed. However, Suzuki and Shiraishi teach all of the claimed ingredients, and thus, the claimed effects and physical properties would be implicitly achieved by using the claimed composition. If it is applicant's position that this would not be the case, (1) evidence would need to be presented to support applicant's position, and (2) it would be the examiner's position that the application contains inadequate disclosure in that there is no teaching as to how to obtain the claimed properties and effects by using only the claimed composition.

Regarding Claims 4-5, Suzuki shows the article as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 1 above, including an article made of lactic acid based resin and a natural fiber (Column 2, lines 18-20, 65-67; note that this is product by process claim which is not limited to the manipulation of the recited steps, only the structure implied by the steps (MPEP 2113)), meeting applicant's claim.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MONICA A. HUSON whose telephone number is (571)272-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am-4:00bm.

Art Unit: 1791

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Monica A Huson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1791

/Monica A Huson/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791